

Application No.: 09/874,717
Amendment dated: October 12, 2005
Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2005

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please amend the text that appears from page 4, line 10 to page 5, line 11, by rewriting the same as follows:

-- Another prior art usage monitor is "Watcha!", as described at

<http://homepage1.nifty.com/abby/PalmTech/Watcha2e/EasyGoing2.html>

<http://homepage1.nifty.com/abby/PalmTech/Watcha2e/EasyGoing2.html>. This software runs on the palmtop computer from Palm Inc., and monitors application usage in terms of duration and battery usage. The "Watcha!" software collects this data for the user of the palmtop computer and has no facility for having a server collect data from a plurality of palmtop computers, nor does it have any means for sharing the data with other computing devices.

Another prior art usage monitor is "Application Usage Hack", found at

<http://www.benc.hr/appusage.htm> <http://www.benc.hr/appusage.htm>. This software monitors

application usage for a palmtop computer in terms of count and duration, and can upload the collected data to a host computer in the form of a CVS file. Once in the host computer, the user can translate the CVS file into other formats, including spreadsheets. The overall process of collecting usage data on the host computer requires the user to manipulate the data. Hence, the tabulation of data is not automatic. Also, there is no provision for sharing the data with a server in order to accumulate data from a plurality of electronic devices.

Another prior art usage monitor is "WorldCharts," found at the

<http://www.worldcharts.com> <http://www.worldcharts.com>. This is a service that tracks the

popularity of applications and various types of content (i.e., music and movies). However, it relies on users sending votes via email or entering them on a web page. Hence, this software is

Application No.: 09/874,717
Amendment dated: October 12, 2005
Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2005

not automatic, requiring lots of user intervention. Overall results are based on ratings from users, rather than from actual usage data, making the results very subjective. --